Dear Mr. Carswell,

I refer to the request which you have made under the Freedom of Information Act 2014 for access to records held by this Department, as follows:

“Copies of all correspondence (including emails) and any internal department email, memos or notes concerning the US Treasury Department’s sanctions imposed on Rusal, the Russian parent company of Aughinish Alumina in Co Limerick, from December 27th 2018 to the lifting of sanctions on January 27th, 2019.”

I refer also to the acknowledgement of your request which was sent to you on 6th January 2019.

I have identified 155 records that fall within the scope of your request. The records are listed in the schedule attached. I have made a decision to grant full release of 42 records, partial release of 23 records and I am refusing release of 90 records.

Where access has been only partially granted or refused the cases relate to section 29 (1) (a) Deliberations of Public Bodies, section 33. (2) (b) (i) and (ii) Security, defence and international relations, 35 (1) (a) Information obtained in confidence and section, 36 (1) (b) Commercially sensitive information 37(1) Personal Information.

**Section 29 – Deliberations of FOI bodies**

In relation to Section 29(1)(a) of the Act, I have considered whether the public interest would be better served by releasing the records in question in terms of the right of the public to have access to information and the need for accountability and transparency in the decision making processes of public bodies. However given the source and nature of these records I am satisfied that their release would negatively impact on the decision making and information sharing process of this Department. In particular I believe the release of these records, and the insight they give on commercially and politically sensitive issues, would adversely affect the ability and process of this Department to continue its work on these issues and with the same interlocutors. As such, and on balance, I have decided the public interest would not best be served in releasing these records.
Section 33 – Security, defence and international relations
While Section 33. (2) (b) (i) and (ii) Security, defence and international relations, is a mandatory exemption I feel it is warranted that I give reasoning to why it has been used in so many of the records which I have refused release. The Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade operates in a complex and diverse international environment. A mutuality of confidence exists in the communication between this Department and our interlocutors. This is particularly the case in respect of diplomatic communications. I have considered the possibility and likelihood that the release of these records could result in negative consequences, and prejudice the ability of this Department to effectively discharge its functions and engage with outside interlocutors and stakeholders. To do otherwise would risk compromising the State’s capacity to engage with international partners through diplomatic and other channels. This is particularly the case where records relate to analysis, actions and information obtained in confidence from external interlocutors who are engaging on this sensitive issue.

Section 35 - Information obtained in confidence
I have weighed carefully the public interest test in applying section 35 (1) (a) of the Act. In particular I have considered whether the disclosure of these records could bring clarity and transparency to the work of the Department in this case and show for the outside reader/researcher how and why the Department acted in the way it did. I believe however that this public interest is outweighed by the need and expectation that this Department can handle and process information that is confidential and that the provider would wish to remain so. In particular I have considered where disclosure would breech this trust and if it would affect the future flow of confidential information, which may be necessary in the Department’s engagement with outside parties, many of whom have frequent engagement with this Department. I believe that these concerns do clearly outweigh the public interest and you will therefore see that I have used section 35 frequently in the redacting or withholding in full records that fall within the scope of this FOI.

Section 36 - Commercially sensitive information
In relation to section 36 of the Act, I have considered if the information were to be released if it would enhance the accountability/transparency of the Department. I have also considered the possibility that released records could assist the requester as a researcher, to understand this Department’s engagement with the company and to understand the processes of decision-making of this Department. I believe however that the negatives of releasing these records outweigh the above considerations. In particular I believe that the release of records would unduly impede in the effective pursuit of business of the company in question. It would also no doubt release into the public domain clearly commercially sensitive information that could affect the running of the business in question as well as the conduct of ongoing and future negotiations, critical to its business, that the company is/could be engaged in.

Section 37 – Personal information
While Section 37(1) Personal Information is a mandatory exemption I am still obliged to consider whether the public interest would be best served by releasing the records in full. I have taken into account the benefit to the public to be given access to correspondence in a manner which demonstrates transparency and accountability of the Department. In the main,
the information redacted relates to personal contact information and does not affect the substance of the record. I have particularly considered whether releasing the personal information would provide any additional benefit in this regard. I have weighed this against the right of individuals to have their personal information remain private and have found that there is a clear prerogative for this information to remain private.

**Right of Appeal**

Should you wish to appeal this decision, you may do so in writing to the Freedom of Information Unit, Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, 76-78 Harcourt Street, Dublin 2 or by email to foi@dfa.ie. A fee applies for an appeal for access to non-personal information; the level of this fee has been set at €30. For methods of payment, please contact FOI Unit at foi@dfa.ie, or 01-4082857.

You should make your appeal within 4 weeks (20 working days) from the date of this notification. However, the making of a late appeal may be permitted in appropriate circumstances. The appeal will involve a complete reconsideration of the matter by a more senior member of the staff of this Department.

Yours sincerely,

James Rowan

*Americas Unit*

*Ireland, UK and Americas Division*

*Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade*