Our Ref: Foi/Req/19/085

11th March 2019

Dear Mr. Bodkin,

I refer to the request which you have made under the Freedom of Information Act 2014 for access to records held by this Department, as follows:

- A full itinerary for Minister Simon Coveney’s trip to Washington and New York last week
- All briefing notes, speaking points/notes and meeting minutes held by the department that relate to the trip
- All electronic and written correspondence involving departmental staff at principal officer level and above or Minister’s Coveney’s office that relates to policy aspects of this trip

I refer also to the acknowledgement of your request which was sent to you on 20th February 2019.

The records scheduled as part of this FOI relate to the Tánaiste’s visits to New York and Washington, D.C. In New York the Tánaiste met with a number of UN Member States to discuss Ireland’s relations with African countries, multilateralism, and Ireland’s Security Council candidature. In Washington, D.C. the Tánaiste attended a defeat-ISIS international meeting and also took the opportunity to meet with Congressional leaders and launch the Government’s new strategy for the US and Canada.

Having conducted a search of records related to these two visits I have identified 71 records that fall within the scope of your request. The records are listed in the schedule attached. I have made a decision to grant full release of 9 records, partial release of 16 records and I am refusing release of 46 records.

Where access has been only partially granted or refused the cases relate to section 29 (1) (a) Deliberations of Public Bodies, section 33. (2) (b) (i) and (ii) Security, defence and international relations and section 37(1) Personal Information.

Section 29 – Deliberations of FOI bodies
In relation to Section 29(1)(a) of the Act, I have considered whether the public interest would be better served by releasing the records in question in terms of the right of the public to have access to information and the need for accountability and transparency in the decision making processes of public bodies. However given the source and nature of these records I am satisfied that their release would negatively impact on the decision making and information sharing process of this Department. In particular I believe the release of these records, and the insight they give on politically sensitive issues, would adversely affect the ability and process of this Department to continue its work on these issues and with the same interlocutors. As such, and on balance, I have decided the public interest would not best be served in releasing these records.

Section 33 – Security, defence and international relations
While Section 33. (2) (b) (i) and (ii) Security, defence and international relations, is a mandatory exemption I feel it is warranted that I give reasoning to why it has been used in so many of the records which I have refused release. The Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade operates in a complex and diverse international environment. A mutuality of confidence exists in the communication between this Department and our interlocutors. This is particularly the case in respect of diplomatic communications. I have considered the possibility and likelihood that the release of these records could result in negative consequences, and prejudice the ability of this Department to effectively discharge its functions and engage with outside interlocutors and stakeholders. To do otherwise would risk compromising the State’s capacity to engage with international partners through diplomatic and other channels. This is particularly the case where records relate to analysis, actions and information obtained in confidence from interlocutors who are engaging on sensitive issues.

Section 37 – Personal information
While Section 37(1) Personal Information is a mandatory exemption I am still obliged to consider whether the public interest would be best served by releasing the records in full. I have taken into account the benefit to the public to be given access to correspondence in a manner which demonstrates transparency and accountability of the Department. In the main, the information redacted relates to personal contact information and does not affect the substance of the record. I have particularly considered whether releasing the personal information would provide any additional benefit in this regard. I have weighed this against the right of individuals to have their personal information remain private and have found that there is a clear prerogative for this information to remain private.

Right of Appeal
Should you wish to appeal this decision, you may do so in writing to the Freedom of Information Unit, Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, 76-78 Harcourt Street, Dublin 2 or by email to foi@dfa.ie. A fee applies for an appeal for access to non-personal information; the level of this fee has been set at €30. For methods of payment, please contact FOI Unit at foi@dfa.ie, or 01-4082857.

You should make your appeal within 4 weeks (20 working days) from the date of this notification. However, the making of a late appeal may be permitted in appropriate
circumstances. The appeal will involve a complete reconsideration of the matter by a more senior member of the staff of this Department.

Yours sincerely,
James Rowan
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